		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/867,434	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	ı, y	Examiner	Art Unit
		EDMUND H. LEE	1732
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .		(3)	
(2) MR. DIEDERIKS.		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 February 2006</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.		·	
Rejection(s) discussed: THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE			
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE			
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE DISCUSSED CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMI	IN ORDE	ER TO PLACE THE INSTANT	CASE IN CONDITION FOR
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The parate r	e examiner will provide a we ecord of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant	'Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)